R2VF: A Two-Step Regularization Algorithm to Cluster Categories in GLMs

Yuval Ben Dror Data Science Researcher, Earnix June 2025



We begin with a basic encoding of our features, and fit a standard GLM.

City	City_is_A	City_is_B	City_is_C	Age	<19	[19,24)	
А	1	0	0	18	1	0	
В	0	1	0	29	0	0	
С	0	0	1	22	0	1	
В	0	1	0	47	0	0	
А	1	0	0	68	0	0	
А	1	0	0	81	0	0	•

Initial fit: **overfit**.

Let's use Lasso.



Standard Lasso fit: Shrinks coefficients only towards the reference level.



For numeric and ordinal features – we use **fused lasso** instead.



Fused Lasso fit: works well for ordinal bins, but doesn't solve the issue with nominals.



Bondel and Reich proposition for **nominal lasso**:

$$J_{\lambda}\left(\beta_{\text{nom}}\right) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{|NOM|} \sum_{j < k \in |NO_i|} w_{ij} \left|\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ik}\right|$$

However, for a **practical implementation**, this would require augmenting the design matrix **quadratically**.



Naïve Approach: Target Encoding

Simple solution: use target encoding to rank the categories, and penalize only adjacent bins.

City	Target	City_numeric	
А	1	2	
В	7	6.5	
С	5	 5	Penalize: $ \beta_c - \beta_A $, $ \beta_B - \beta_A $
В	6	6.5	
А	3	2	
А	2	2	

Two main issues:

- 1. Target Leakage (overfitting)
- 2. Relying on a marginal effect



Our Solution: R2VF (Ranking to Variable Fusion)

We propose the following mechanism:





R2VF: Benefits

Handling Overfitting: uses a regularized ranking for the categories. Multivariate compatibility: uses the coefficients of the categories fitted with other predictors.

Avoiding leakage: uses a similarly structured model rather than the target itself.





Computational Approach

We use "**Split coding**" for ordinal features (which is ultimately all the features, after applying the initial steps).

Car Brand	Car Brand Ranked	>=1	>2	>3	>4
Suzuki	0	0	0	0	0
Mazda	1	1	0	0	0
Renault	2	1	1	0	0
Volkswagen	3	1	1	1	0
BMW	4	1	1	1	1

Initial bins: (Suzuki, Mazda, Renault, Volkswagen, BMW)



9

Computational Approach

Apply standard lasso, and merge accordingly.

Car Brand	Car Brand Ranked	>=1	>2	>3	>4
				Beta=0	
Suzuki	0	0	0	0	0
Mazda	1	1	0	0	0
Renault	2	1	1	0	0
Volkswagen	3	1	1	1	0
BMW	4	1	1	1	1

Final bins: (Suzuki, Mazda, Renault && Volkswagen, BMW)



Simulation

- City: 26 cities labeled A to Z, randomly generated such that the number of observations per city roughly forms a linear scale (meaning, the frequency of each city varies),
- Age: An average age is randomly selected per city (varies from 34 to 46), and generated with a variability of 13.
- **Profession:** Marked P_i (where *i* is a number from 0 to 99), and distributed such that it has a minor correlation with both city and age. The distribution makes some professions relatively prevalent, some very rare, and others completely absent.

```
target = 0 \setminus
+ 15 * (row['city'] in ['b', 'c', 'd']) \
+ 17 * (row['city'] in ['e', 'f', 'g', 'h', 'i', 'j', 'k', 'l']) \
- 12 * (row['city'] in ['m', 'n', 'o', 'p']) \
- 14 * (row['city'] in ['q', 'r', 's', 't', 'u', 'v']) \
+ 10 * (row['city'] in ['w', 'x']) \
- 10 * (row['city'] in ['y', 'z']) \
- 2 * np.sqrt((row['age'] - 45))**2 \
- 19 * (row['profession'][-1] == '1') \
- 17 * (row['profession'][-1] == '2') \
- 9 * (row['profession'][-1] == '3') \
- 8 * (row['profession'][-1] == '4') \
+ 1 * (row['profession'][-1] == '5') \
+ 2 * (row['profession'][-1] == '6') \
+ 8 * (row['profession'][-1] == '7') \
+ 9 * (row['profession'][-1] == '8') \
+ 19 * (row['profession'][-1] == '9')
```



11

Simulation

Results after the **ranking step** (standard Lasso for nominals, fused lasso for ordinals):





Simulation

Results after the **final step** (full R2VF):





Real Data Comparison

Dataset: FARS (Fatality Analysis Reporting System) 2022 – predicting **car accident** deaths by vehicle properties (**binary** prediction – death yes/no).





Paper + AGLM Feature

For more technical details, see <u>our paper</u>.



R2VF: A Two-Step Regularization Algorithm to Cluster Categories in GLMs

Yuval Ben Dror*

May 16, 2025

Abstract

Over recent decades, extensive research has aimed to overcome the restrictive underlying assumptions required for a Generalized Linear Model to generate accurate and meaningful predictions. These efforts include regularizing coefficients, selecting features, and clustering ordinal categories, among other approaches. Despite these advances, efficiently clustering nominal categories in GLMs without incurring high computational costs remains a challenge. This paper introduces Ranking to Variable Fusion (R2VF), a two-step method designed to efficiently fuse nominal and ordinal categories in GLMs. By first transforming nominal features into an ordinal framework via regularized regression and then applying variable fusion, R2VF strikes a balance between model complexity and interpretability. We demonstrate the effectiveness of R2VF through comparisons with other methods, highlighting its performance in addressing overfitting and identifying an appropriate set of covariates.



For information about Model Accelerator, an Earnix extension that includes Auto-GLM, see <u>blog</u>.

Thank you!

Yuval Ben Dror Data Science Researcher, Earnix June 2025

